

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REPORTING THE STATUS OF WORK IN
PROGRESS

Inventors: Aman Gupta
Amit Maheshwari
James A. Yenerich

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REPORTING THE STATUS OF WORK IN PROGRESS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. The present invention relates generally to electronic monitoring of the status of work in progress and more particularly to electronically reporting in real-time shipping readiness of a product being produced.
2. In any business, delivery of product or services within the time expectations of the customer is necessary to be successful. Customers expect delivery of a product or service on or before the date delivery was requested and if the business is consistently not meeting customers' expectations, they risk losing the client to a competitor and a reduction in market share. For many products or services, if delivery is earlier than expected, the customer is happy as most customers appreciate delivery of goods or services at any time before the expected date of delivery. However, some products by their very nature i.e., perishable goods, need to be delivered on a specific date or within a very narrow time span defined by the customer.
3. For example, for some products, a customer may need to prepare a space for installation of the to-be-delivered product and, as such, may require a specified amount of lead-time. Thus if the product is delivered too early, it may cause additional costs to the customer for storage or other requirements. Additionally, if the shipment is late, the customer may begin to suffer a loss for every hour or day that the newly prepared site sits empty, waiting for the arrival of the product to install.
4. It is therefore desirable to reduce variation in shipping dates to within a defined span of time near or at the customer's requested date for shipment. An

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5. The present invention discloses a method and system for electronically reporting real-time status of work in progress. An alert system is disclosed that contains both proactive and reactive alerts. The alert scheme is based upon the electronically warning of mismatched future promised delivery dates and customer requested shipping dates. The alerts assist with avoiding or greatly reducing any problems with meeting customer expectations.
6. In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a method for reporting status of work in progress is disclosed wherein a database is periodically queried for information about product orders. The information obtained for each order includes: an order number, a promise date, a request date, a shipment date, and a product category for a plurality of products/services offered. The method further provides for comparing the promise dates and the request dates. When compared, it is decided whether an alert should be set, including setting a proactive promise alert if a promise date is later than a request date for a given order. The following step of this method includes displaying the proactive promise alerts with the order number, product category, and type of alert.
7. In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a computer-readable medium is disclosed, having stored thereon one or more computer programs. The computer program(s), when executed by one or more computers, causes the one or more computers to display electronic shipment alerts. The program begins by instructing the one or more computers to populate a database with data to include an order number, a promise date, a request date, a shipment date, and a product category for a plurality of orders. The one or more computers are additionally instructed to

periodically query the database and compare promise dates to request dates. When compared, the one or more computers are instructed to set a proactive alert if the promise date is later than a request date, and set a reactive alert if the shipment date exists and the request date is less than a user-defined number of days prior to a current date. The one or more computers are then instructed to display any promise and shipment alerts by product category and type of alert.

8. In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, a computer data signal is disclosed, representing a sequence of instructions, that when executed by one of more processors, causes the one or more processors to display proactive and reactive alerts by product/service category and type of alert. The sequence of instructions first causes the one or more processors to populate a database with an order date indicating a date an order is initially made, a request date indicating a date when a customer requests delivery of the order, a shipment date, when available, indicating a date when actual shipment will occur, and a product/service category for each order for a product/service. The one or more processors are further instructed to query the database and compare promise dates to request dates for each order, and to check for the entry of a shipment date for each order. The one or more processors are then instructed to set a proactive alert if any promise date is later than a request date, and to set a reactive alert if a shipment date exists for an order and the request date is less than a user-defined number of days prior to a current date. The one or more processes are lastly instructed to display all proactive and reactive alerts by product/service category and type of alert.

9. Various other features, objects, and advantages of the present invention are made apparent by the following detailed description and drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10. The drawings illustrate one preferred embodiment presently contemplated for carrying out the invention.

In the drawings:

11. Fig. 1 is a high-level overview block diagram representing an embodiment of the invention.
12. Fig. 2 is a flow chart representation of a process to display quality indicators in accordance with one aspect of the invention.
13. Fig. 3 is a flow chart representation of the availability reporting process in accordance with another aspect of the invention.
14. Fig. 4 is a flow chart representation of the work in progress table update process in accordance with another aspect of the invention.
15. Fig. 5 is a flow chart representation of the shipment and promise alert setting and display process in accordance with another aspect of the invention.
16. Fig. 6 is a flow chart representation of the process to display orders and revenue in accordance with another aspect of the invention.
17. Fig. 7 is a representation of the Z-value graphic indicator in accordance with another aspect of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

18. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a program is designed to augment a commercially available database program. Specifically, it is designed as a separate program that works with data in the database. The program provides real-time, or near real-time reporting capabilities that are not available to users of the commercially available database. Other embodiments of the invention may use different hardware and/or software manifestations to embody the invention in accordance with their particular design.

19. Referring to Fig. 1, an overview diagram of a reporting system is shown which includes a plurality of user stations 10 such as User A, User B through User Z. These user stations 10 represent any number of users on a network at any time. The user stations 10 are connected to an Intranet server 12. This can be through direct communication, a local area network, or from another network like an intranet or the Internet. It may also include user stations 10 connected via a variety of other networking connections, including wireless connections. The Internet server 12 is in communication with database 14, which may be on another computer network. The Intranet server 12 is also communicatively connected to a mainframe/processing section 16. The Mainframe/ Processing section 16 processes data from database 14 based on user requests and completes various reports and provides the reports to the user stations 10.

20. Database 14 contains data on orders, availability (when products will be available for shipping), requested shipping dates, actual shipping dates, promised shipping dates, revenue per order, and various other product and sales information. All of the information is constantly updated at the user stations 10, and is

communicated through the Intranet server 12. Some of the users may update the information and some may merely be accessing the database for information. The boxes 20-28 in Fig 1 show the input of this information into the database 14, indicated by data input 18. The data includes new orders 20, update order status 22, current inventory 24, product status 26, and shipment data 28.

21. The database 14 has the ability to reserve areas for computational results known as temporary tables 30. The tables contain the latest totals programmed to be stored therein that can be readily accessed by the users at user stations 10. It is temporary in that the temporary table processing system 32 repeatedly updates the temporary tables 30. When the data in the database 14 changes, the inputs to the temporary table processing system 32 are modified to update the information in the temporary tables 30 with new totals. In the preferred embodiment, the temporary table processing system 32 updates the data in the temporary tables 30 every 60 minutes, but the time interval can be set by the programmer. Depending on needs, the update is performed based on such factors as product turn over, product manufacture time, number of orders taken per interval, and so on. The update should be performed often enough so that users acquire real-time data based on these factors.

22. The preferred embodiment shown in Fig. 1 contemplates a manufacturing facility that has a number of products in various stages of completion, or a re-manufacturing facility with the same traits. Such a facility may have a system as represented by Fig. 1 merely to report the status to internal users. Additionally, an organization with these capabilities may want to make the information available to field sales personal, or even directly to potential customers.

23. Fig. 2 is a representation of a preferred embodiment for the statistical prediction of future performance process. In general, a process can be measured for performance if certain specification limits are set to measure it. To do so, the system must determine how many times the process produces a defect, or an output, that does not conform to the specifications that were set, as compared to how many times it produces a non-defect, or a successful output, within a given number of opportunities. In this manner, it is possible statistically to predict the output of a process by sampling performance of the process and analyzing that data. The process can then be assessed and adjusted to improve future performance as against the specification limits.
24. Referring to Fig. 2, the flowchart provides a description of a preferred process for creating the statistical calculation to indicate quality. Overall, Fig. 2 is divided into two separate parts. Part A describes updating the temporary tables 30 in the database 14, and Part B describes the process flow of the statistical calculation.
25. Referring to Fig. 2, Part A, in more detail, upon initialization of the updating process 34, data from the database 36 is obtained by a fetch order for information 38. This is sometimes referred to as querying the database. In this embodiment, the data obtained is only for the orders that have occurred within the past year for statistical purposes. However, any time period can be chose based on design choice. The next step is where orders that have not been shipped are removed from consideration 40 because this portion of the system is only concerned with shipped orders. Next, the initial calculation 42 is performed which requires fetching the maximum ship date from that order at 44 and fetching the customer's requested date for delivery 46. In this embodiment, each order may have more than one product, and the maximum ship date referred to in 44 is the date that the last product on the order was actually

shipped. The customer requested date for delivery 46 is subtracted 48 from the maximum ship date 44. At this point, the process adds 52 the time needed for shipping the product to the customer 50 to the difference between the maximum ship date and the customer's requested date for delivery providing the result to update the table 54. Updating the information that is already in the table or providing a new entry then modifies the table. The process then checks whether the last order was processed 56, and if not 56a, the process returns to the initial calculation step 42 where the previously-described process is repeated on the next order entry. If the order is the last 56b, then the process continues to the statistical calculation section of part B. It is important to note that the invention anticipates the use of any number of statistical calculations to predict the capability of a process, both known and as yet unknown. The preferred embodiment uses a value known as the "Z" score to provide information about process capability.

26. To calculate the mean 58, the data is added together and divided by the number of entries. In statistical equations the mean is customarily represented by the Greek letter mu (μ). The next act in the process is to calculate the variance 60. As is well known in the art, this is done by subtracting the mean from each entry in the table to determine a deviation for each entry, squaring each deviation, summing the squared deviations and dividing that sum by the number of entries. The Greek letter sigma σ represents variance when shown with an exponent of 2 (i.e., σ^2). The next act is to calculate the standard deviation 62. This calculation is also well known in the art, and it is equal to the square root of the variance. The standard deviation is traditionally represented by the Greek letter sigma (σ) with an exponent of 1. The next step is to determine the values for Z long-term and Z short-term 64. In general,

Z-scores are well known in the art of statistics. Z long-term (Z_{LT}) is calculated from the standard deviation and the average output of the current process. Used with continuous data, Z_{LT} represents the overall process capability and can be used to determine the probability of out-of-specification parts within the current process. Usually process capability is measured in defective parts per million opportunities, or DPMO.

27. In the preferred embodiment, the Z score is determined by first setting an upper specification limit (USL) and a lower specification limit (LSL), also referred to as tolerance limits. These limits are designated either arbitrarily, or as a result of researching customer needs, to determine the goals or tolerance of the process. As a measure of quality, any data point that falls outside of the USL and LSL is then considered a defect. The Z long-term (Z_{LT}) value is then calculated by use of the formula: $Z_{LT} = \min\left[\frac{USL - \mu}{\sigma}, \frac{\mu - LSL}{\sigma}\right]$, where USL is the preset upper specification limit, LSL is the preset lower specification limit, μ is the mean, and σ is the standard deviation. In the preferred embodiment, the minimum result of the two expressions is taken as the measure of performance for the process. The user may then interpret the result. Interpretation may include applying the result to a normal or other distribution to determine the percentage of defects produced with a given number of opportunities. It is contemplated and believed within the scope of the present invention that interpretation of the results to determine DPMO could be easily automated as well. Z values can also be calculated in other ways; for example, both expressions can be used in some calculations instead of using the minimum of the two.

28. Continuing with the preferred embodiment, the Z_{LT} value is then used to determine the Z short term (Z_{ST}) value by using the formula: $Z_{ST} = Z_{LT} + 1.5$. This is an estimation of performance based upon the idea that the performance of a process will deteriorate over time. Thus the short-term performance represented by the Z score should be better than the long-term performance, and adding 1.5 to the long-term Z score estimates the short-term Z score. The Z scores are then moved to the update table 66 where they can be called for display. In the preferred embodiment, Z short term (Z_{ST}) is the standard scale for reporting performance quality based on a target goal of 6 Sigma (If $Z_{ST} = 6$ then DPMO = 3.4). At this point the process ends 68.

29. Accordingly, the present invention includes a method for measuring product shipment process capability that includes maintaining a database having therein data fields indicative of at least an order, a maximum ship date, a customer requested data, and a product category for each order, and then fetching order information for all orders that have a valid maximum ship date. The method includes subtracting the customer requested date from the maximum ship date and producing a difference value therefrom. Next, a predetermined number of days is added to the difference value to provide a shipment quality metric for each order. The method next includes using the shipment quality metric in a statistical calculation to indicate process quality.

30. In a preferred embodiment, the order information fetched from the database is only for those orders that were placed within a given time period. The statistical calculation can include determining a value for an upper specification limit and a lower specification limit, and then displaying the percentage of times the shipment

quality metric was greater than the upper specification limit and displaying the percentage of time the shipment quality metric was less than the lower specification limit. The invention can include setting a value for at least one specification limit and computing and displaying a statistical score based upon the specification limit and the shipment quality metrics where the statistical score is a measure of process capability. The process includes periodically repeating the fetching, subtracting, adding shipping days, and determining a statistical calculation at regular time intervals. The statistical calculation is preferably calculated and displayed for each product category. Further, the Z values can be displayed on a scale representing a range of Z values with an overlapping needle to indicate current performance as shown in Fig. 7.

31. The invention also includes a computer program implementing the aforementioned method. The computer program is stored on a computer readable medium and causes one or more computers to query a database that contains the information detailing orders, a requested delivery date, a maximum ship date, and a product category for a number of products. In processing the data, the computers are caused to ignore orders with no maximum ship date, and subtract the requested delivery date from the maximum ship date and add an adjustment value to obtain a shipment quality metric. The query, subtraction, and addition acts are repeated for the number of shipped products, and the computer program has instructions to process the shipment quality metrics to determine overall shipment quality.

32. Preferably, the shipment quality metrics are processed to provide a statistical measure of process capability and are regularly reprocessed by repeating the aforementioned acts at regular time intervals. The regular time intervals are

dependent upon the system being evaluated and are preferably set such that the user of the system perceives that the shipment quality metrics are updated in real-time.

33. Preferably, the set of instructions in the computer program that process the shipment quality metrics includes instructions to determine a mean and a standard deviation of the shipment quality metrics, and to designate an upper and lower specification limits, and then to determine a Z long-term value by subtracting the mean from the upper specification limit and dividing the result by the standard deviation. The Z long-term value is then displayed. Further instructions can include an estimated value for Z short-term by adding a constant to the Z long-term value.

34. Another embodiment of the invention includes a computer data signal representing a sequence of instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to maintain a database of data having therein an order number, a promise date, a request date, a maximum ship date, and a product category for each product. The instructions in the computer data signal cause the processor to obtain the data from each order that has a valid maximum ship date and create an upper specification limit by adding a predetermined number of days just prior to a customer's requested delivery date and to create a lower specification limit by adding a predetermined number of days after a customer's requested delivery date. The processor is then caused to compute and display a statistical value providing an indication of process capability. These instructions are periodically repeated either at regular time intervals, in real-time, or on an on-demand basis that can include recalculating the statistical value each time a user requests the information. In order to calculate the statistical value, the computer data signal preferably has instructions to determine a mean value and a standard deviation and to subtract the mean value from the upper

specification limit and divide a result by the standard deviation to create a first Z-value. The lower specification limit is subtracted from the mean value and the result is divided by the standard deviation to create a second Z-value. The minimum of the two values is then chosen as the statistical value.

35. The computer data signal can also have instructions to project what the number of defects would be, given one million opportunities. The defects per million (DPM) is based upon a normal distribution. Applying the Z_{LT} or Z_{ST} to a normal distribution table provides the predicted DPM. While the current preferred embodiment does not describe a method to do this electronically, it can easily be done by the use of a simple look-up table, well known in the art of computer programming. The Z_{LT} or Z_{ST} value is found on the table and the associated DPM value is obtained. The DPM value is then displayed as the number of defects per one million opportunities. The instructions in the computer data signal can also cause a processor to decide which of the first and second Z-values are a minimum value and then to display the minimum value identified as a Z long-term value. A constant can then be added to the Z long-term value to determine and display a Z short-term value.

36. Fig. 3 is a flow diagram of the process of a preferred embodiment for displaying inventory availability. The process begins at the start step 70 and the first step is to fetch all saleable items in the inventory 72 from the database. The program then must determine whether each record contains a date indicative of whether the product is available to ship, referred to as an available to promise (ATP) date 74. If a particular record does not have an ATP date 74a, the record is ignored 76. If it does have an ATP date 74b, then the process next counts the number of days between a current date (i.e., typically today) and the ATP date at 78. The resulting number is

then provided to the next part of the process to determine which of the messages is appropriate to display for this record. If the number of days between the current date and the ATP date is greater than 500 days 80, then the message "Call for Availability" is displayed 82. If the number of days between the current date and the ATP date is greater than 2 days and less than or equal to 500 days 84, then the message "Shipment within number where number is the number of days between the current date today and the ATP date days" 86 is displayed. On the other hand, if the number of days between the current date today and the ATP date is less than or equal to two 88, then the message "Immediate Shipment" is displayed 90. Once the appropriate message is displayed, the process ends for that entry at 92.

37. Fig. 4 is a flow diagram representing the work in progress (WIP) table update process for one preferred embodiment. The process updates the WIP table that is in the temporary tables of the database to ensure the data displayed is the most current available. The process begins after initialization 93 by fetching the booked orders that have been promised a shipping date 94. The system then determines whether the record already exists in the current WIP table 96. If the record already exists 96a, the order number, order promise date, request date, and the product category are fetched 98, and they are used to update the current entry in the WIP table 100. This portion of the process is then complete at 101. If the record does not exist 96b, the order number, order promise date, request date, and the product category are fetched 102, and are used to create a new entry in the WIP table 104. This portion of the process is then complete at 101. The entire process repeats until all the entries for the WIP table have been either updated or created.

38. Fig. 5 is a flow chart representing the alert setting and display process. The system provides for both proactive and reactive alerts, allowing use of the information to repair problems in the shipping process. The word “proactive” is meant to show that an alert may be set while there is still time to rectify a possible problem in the process, in this case, shipping. The proactive alert allows the process owners to make adjustments or take special action in order to avoid a late shipment. The reactive alert may not provide the same information, but the information is still useful to ensure that recognized problems do not occur.

39. Continuing with Fig. 5, the alert setting and display process begins after an initialization 105, by fetching the WIP table 106 and then processing with each order in the WIP table. The program fetches the respective product category 108 and then the maximum promise date 110. The maximum promise date is the latest date promised to the customer for shipment. The request date is then fetched 112, and then the process determines whether the promise date is after the request date 114. If the promise date is later than the request date 114a, then a “Promise Alert” is set and displayed for that order 116, and the process moves to the order already shipped question 118. If the maximum promise date is prior to the request date 114b, then the process skips the promise alert step 116 and moves directly to the order already shipped 118 determination. If the order has already been shipped 118a, the process moves directly to check to see if all the orders have been processed at 124. If not 118b, the process then determines whether the request date is within a preset number of days from a current data 120. In this embodiment, the preset number of days is two. If the request date is not within two days of the current date 120a then the process moves to check if another order must be processed at 124. If the request date

is within two days of the current date 120b, then the "Ship Alert" is set and displayed 122, and the process moves to check if all the orders have been processed 124. The process then repeats for all orders 124, 124a. Once all of the orders have been processed 124b, the alerts are accumulated and displayed for the individual orders sorted by product category and type of alert 126. The alerts provide opportunities for management to fix problems before they happen.

40. Fig. 6 is a representation of the process used to display the orders and revenue for a past period of time. The process is initiated automatically when the information is requested, or on a regular pre-programmed basis 127. In a preferred embodiment, all of the order information, including revenue for each order, is retrieved from the database 128. The program then captures all the orders 130 in a previous period of time, in this example, a last year. The Orders table is then updated 132 with the data from the capture 130. At this point the orders in the table are sorted by month and category 134. The last step provides that the orders, order totals, revenue and revenue totals are displayed in tabular format 136 for viewing in an easily understandable way. After all the orders are displayed the process is completed at 138.

41. In one aspect of the invention, a method for reporting status of work in progress is disclosed wherein a database is periodically queried for information about product orders. The information obtained for each order includes at least an order number, a promise date, a request date, a shipment date, and a product category for a plurality of products/services offered. The method further provides that one step conducts comparing the promise dates and the request dates to determine the difference. When compared, it is decided whether an alert should be set, including setting a proactive promise alert if a promise date is later than a request date for a

given order. The last step of the method displays the proactive promise alerts. This is formatted to make it easy to find the information needed. It can be displayed in table format with the order number, product category, and the type of alert.

42. In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a computer-readable medium is disclosed, having stored thereon one or more computer programs. The computer program(s), when executed by one or more computers, causes the one or more computers to display electronic shipment alerts. The program begins by instructing the one or more computers to populate a database with data with at least the following for each order: an order number, a promise date, a request date, a shipment date, and a product category. The one or more computers are additionally instructed to periodically query the database and compare promise dates to request dates. The timing for automatically querying the database can be adjusted as necessary to provide up-to-date information. When the promise date is compared to the request date, the one or more computers are instructed to set a proactive alert if the promise date is later than a request date, and set a reactive alert if the shipment date exists and the request date is less than a user-defined number of days prior to a current date. The one or more computers are then instructed to display any promise and shipment alerts by product category and type of alert.

43. In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, a computer data signal is disclosed. The signal represents a sequence of instructions that, when executed by one of more processors, cause the one or more processors to display proactive and reactive alerts by product/service category and type of alert. The sequence of instructions first cause the one or more processors to populate a database with at least: an order date indicating a date an order is initially made, a request date indicating a

date when a customer requests delivery of the order, a shipment date, when available, indicating a date when actual shipment will occur, and a product/service category for each order for a product/service. The one or more processors are further instructed to query the database and compare the promise date to the request date for each order, and to check for the entry of a shipment date for each order. The one or more processors are then instructed to set a proactive alert if any promise date is later than a request date, and to set a reactive alert if a shipment date exists for an order and the request date is less than a user-defined number of days prior to a current date. The one or more processes are lastly instructed to display all proactive and reactive alerts by product/service category and type of alert.

44. Fig. 7 is a representation of the Z-value graphic indicator in accordance with another aspect of the invention. The preferred embodiment provides an indicator written in Java. The ranges of values on the scale change automatically to best reflect the value to be indicated, and the needle indicates the value of the current calculation.
45. Each of the processes just described can be used alone, or in conjunction with each other in various combinations. In one preferred embodiment, the reports are displayed on a number of web pages within an intranet system. It is automatically updated and the information is available 24 hours a day on an as-needed, on-demand basis.
46. The present invention has been described in terms of the preferred embodiment. While the preferred embodiment uses computers that are communicating through some form of a network, it is understood that other embodiments of the invention may involve the use of different technologies. It is

recognized that equivalents, alternatives and modifications that are different from the preferred embodiment exist, and they are within the scope of the appending claims.